

The creation of kappa deformed electromagnetic radiation from a sum of zero modes

¹M. V. Cougo-Pinto*, ¹C. Farina[†] and ^{1,2}J. F. M. Mendes[‡]

¹*Instituto de Física-UFRJ, CP 68528, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 21.941-972*

²*IPD-CTEx, Av. das Américas 28.705, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 23.020-470*

Abstract

In a related paper we have obtained that the effective action for a κ -deformed quantum field theory has a real and an imaginary part. The real part is half the sum of the κ -deformed zero mode frequencies, while the imaginary part is proportional to the sum of the squares of the zero mode frequencies, being the proportionality constant equal to the inverse of $2\pi\kappa$. Here we calculate this imaginary part for the κ -deformed electromagnetic field confined between two perfectly conducting parallel plates. After renormalization this imaginary part gives a creation rate of κ -deformed electromagnetic radiation. This creation rate goes to zero at the appropriate limits, namely: when the deformation disappears or at infinite separation of the plates. The result agrees with previously obtained results and shed light on them by exhibiting the creation rate as originated in a sum of zero modes. Let us note that due to the rather complicated κ -deformed electromagnetic dispersion relation we were led to the theorem of the argument in order to sum the squares of the κ -deformed frequencies.

In a companion [1] paper, we have obtained that the effective action of a κ -deformed field theory [2] has a real part that is the usual sum of frequencies of zero modes and an imaginary part given by a sum of the squares of these frequencies. For the electromagnetic field this imaginary part is given in terms of the parameter $q = (2\kappa)^{-1}$ as:

$$\Im \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{W}}{T} \right\} = -\frac{q}{2\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \lambda} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2, \quad (1)$$

where λ is the polarization of the state and $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ the deformed frequency[1]. Let us calculate this imaginary part for the κ -deformed electromagnetic field confined between two perfectly conducting parallel plates of side ℓ and separation a . Since the deformation does not effect the spatial derivatives the boundary condition implemented by the conducting plates gives rise to the same spectrum of wave-numbers obtained in the non-deformed case. We have then

*marcus@if.ufrj.br

†farina@if.ufrj.br

‡jayme@if.ufrj.br

$|\mathbf{k}| = \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2}$, where $k_{\parallel}$ is the modulus of the component of $\mathbf{k}$ which is parallel to the plates, $k_n = n\pi/a$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and for all modes but $n = 0$ there is a double degeneracy due to polarization. The deformed frequencies are accordingly given by [1]:

$$\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{q} \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(q \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2} \right) . \quad (2)$$

In this way we have for the imaginary part (1) of the effective action:

$$\begin{aligned} \Im \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{W}}{T} \right\} &= -\frac{q}{2\pi} \frac{\ell^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n,\sigma} \int \int dk_1 dk_2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \\ &= -\frac{q}{2\pi} \frac{\ell^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty 2\pi dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} \sum_{n=(0)}^\infty 2 \frac{1}{q^2} \operatorname{arcsinh}^2 \left(q \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the (0) in the summation symbol means that the factor 2 inside in the sum should be ignored for $n = 0$. As a matter of fact the term with $n = 0$ will give rise to a spurious term in the effective action. For the interest of simplicity we will discard it right now. As it stands, this expression for the imaginary part of effective action is ill defined. It must be regularized and set free of spurious terms to obtain its physical meaningful part. Let us introduce an attenuation positive parameter ϵ and define the regularized expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \Im \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{W}}{T} \right\} &= -\frac{q}{2\pi} \frac{\ell^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n,\sigma} \int \int dk_1 dk_2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \\ &= -q \frac{2\ell^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_\epsilon(q, k_{\parallel}) , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$S_\epsilon(q, k_{\parallel}) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2}}}{q^2} \operatorname{arcsinh}^2 \left(q \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2} \right) . \quad (5)$$

By taking the derivative of $q^2 S_\epsilon(q, k_{\parallel})$ in relation to q we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left(q^2 S_\epsilon(q, k_{\parallel}) \right) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(q \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2} \right) \frac{\sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2} e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2}}}{\sqrt{1 + q^2(k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2)}} \quad (6)$$

We now write the following equality depending on a new parameter $\nu \in [0, 1]$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left(q^2 S_{\epsilon,\nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) \right) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(q\nu \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2} \right) \frac{\sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2} e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2}}}{\sqrt{1 + q^2(k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2)}} , \quad (7)$$

Taking the derivative of (7) in relation to ν we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu \partial q} \left(q^2 S_{\epsilon,\nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) \right) = 2q \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2) e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2}}}{\sqrt{1 + q^2(k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2)} \sqrt{1 + (q\nu)^2(k_{\parallel}^2 + k_n^2)}} . \quad (8)$$

In this way, the integral of this equality in relation to ν , from $\nu = 0$ to $\nu = 1$ gives us back the equality (6).

We shall work on the sum (8) way back to (4) until the imaginary part of the effective action is reduced to a series of quadratures.

To perform the sum (8) we use the principle of the argument, which states that:

$$\sum_n \phi(z_n) - \sum_n \phi(p_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \phi(z) \frac{d}{dz} \log f(za) dz, \quad (9)$$

where ϕ is an analytic function inside and on the curve $\mathcal{C}$ except at a finite number of poles inside $\mathcal{C}$, f is an analytic function inside and on $\mathcal{C}$ and the sums are on the zeros z_n and poles p_n of ϕ inside $\mathcal{C}$. We apply the principle to the functions

$$\phi(z) = \frac{(k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2) e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2}}}{\sqrt{1 + q^2(k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2)} \sqrt{1 + (\nu q)^2(k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2)}} \quad (10)$$

and

$$f(z) = \sin(az). \quad (11)$$

Let us notice that ϕ has poles at $z'_{\pm} = \pm i \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + q^{-2}}$ and $z''_{\pm} = \pm i \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + (q\nu)^{-2}}$, where we should keep in mind that $0 < \nu \leq 1$. We take the branch cuts of ϕ from z'_+ to z''_+ and from z'_- to z''_- . The closed curve $\mathcal{C}$ will consist of two parts. The first is a path parallel to the imaginary axis, from iR to $-iR$ at a distance σ to the right of the imaginary axis; R is large and σ is infinitesimal. The second part is a anti-clockwise semicircle on the positive semi-plane, from $-iR$ to iR . In this way poles of ϕ are outside $\mathcal{C}$. By taking the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ we obtain for the sum (8):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu \partial q} (q^2 S_{\epsilon, \nu}(q, k_{\parallel})) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{(k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2) e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2}}}{\sqrt{1 + q^2(k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2)} \sqrt{1 + (\nu q)^2(k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2)}} \frac{d \log(\sin(az))}{dz} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The integral on the semicircle of infinite radius is zero due to the damping exponential $\exp\{-\epsilon \sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + z^2}\}$, which will be omitted from now on. From the integral just to the right of the imaginary axis it only survives the parts just besides the branch cuts. As a result we have for the sum:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu \partial q} (q^2 S_{\epsilon, \nu}(q, k_{\parallel})) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + q^{-2}}}^{\sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + (q\nu)^{-2}}} \frac{k_{\parallel}^2 - y^2}{\sqrt{1 + q^2(k_{\parallel}^2 - y^2)} \sqrt{1 + (\nu q)^2(k_{\parallel}^2 - y^2)}} \frac{d \log(\sinh(ay))}{dy} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The integration of both sides of this equation in $k_{\parallel}$ lead us to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu \partial q} \left(q^2 \int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_{\epsilon, \nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) \right) = \\ & = \frac{1}{q^2 \pi \nu} \int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} \int_{\sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + (q\nu)^{-2}}}^{\sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + q^{-2}}} dy \frac{y^2 - k_{\parallel}^2}{\sqrt{k_{\parallel}^2 + (q\nu)^{-2} - y^2} \sqrt{y^2 - k_{\parallel}^2 - q^2}} \frac{d \log(\sinh(ay))}{dy} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By exchanging the order of integration in $k_{\parallel}$ e y and performing a long and tedious calculation, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu \partial q} \left(q^2 \int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_{\epsilon, \nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) \right) = \\ & = -\frac{1}{q^2 \pi \nu} \left\{ \int_{(q\nu)^{-1}}^\infty dy \frac{d \log(\sinh(ay))}{dy} \left[\frac{\pi}{4q^2} (1 + \nu^{-2}) \right] + \right. \\ & + \int_{q^{-1}}^{(q\nu)^{-1}} dy \frac{d \log(\sinh(ay))}{dy} \left[\frac{(1 + \nu^{-2})}{2q^2} \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\sqrt{\frac{(yq)^2 - 1}{\nu^{-2} - 1}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2q^2} \sqrt{(yq)^2 - 1} \sqrt{\nu^{-2} - (yq)^2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In these integrals there are terms proportional to the separation a between the plates, since:

$$\frac{d \log(\sinh(ay))}{dy} = a + \frac{d \log(1 - e^{-2ay})}{dy} \quad (16)$$

Those terms give rise in the whole space to a uniform (and infinity) rate of creation of radiation per unit volume. This is a spurious contribution to the effective action that we will discard to arrive at:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu \partial q} \left(q^2 \int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_{\epsilon, \nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) \right) = \frac{2q}{\pi \nu} \int_{q^{-1}}^{(q\nu)^{-1}} dy \frac{y^3 \log(1 - e^{-2ay})}{\sqrt{(yq)^2 - 1} \sqrt{\nu^{-2} - (yq)^2}} \quad (17)$$

Now we integrate both sides of this equality in relation to ν , from $\nu = 0$ to $\nu = 1$, and make an exchange in the order of integration between y and ν , to arrive at:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left(q^2 \int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_{\epsilon, \nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) \right) = \int_{1/q}^\infty dy y^2 \frac{\log(1 - e^{-2ay})}{\sqrt{(yq)^2 - 1}} \quad (18)$$

This equation in turn is integrated from $q = 0$ to arbitrary q and the order of integration is again exchanged with the integration on y to obtain:

$$q^2 \int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_{\epsilon, \nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) = \int_{1/q}^\infty dy y^2 \log(1 - e^{-2ay}) \int_{1/y}^q \frac{dq'}{\sqrt{(yq')^2 - 1}}. \quad (19)$$

The integral on q' can be done to recast this expression into the form:

$$\int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_{\epsilon,\nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) = \frac{1}{q^2} \int_{1/q}^\infty dy y \log(1 - e^{-2ay}) \operatorname{arcosh}(yq). \quad (20)$$

Now we modify this expression to arrive at an expression of the creation rate that is easy to compare with previous results. For this we use the series expansion $\log(1 - e^{-2ay}) = -\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-2nay}/n$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_{\epsilon,\nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) &= -\frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} \int_{1/q}^\infty dy y e^{-2nay} \operatorname{arcosh}(yq) = \\ &= \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \int_{1/q}^\infty dy e^{-2nay} \operatorname{arcosh}(yq) = \\ &= \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[\frac{e^{-2nay}}{-2na} \operatorname{arcosh}(yq) \right]_{1/q}^\infty - \int_{1/q}^\infty dy \frac{e^{-2nay}}{-2na} \frac{q}{\sqrt{(yq)^2 - 1}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \int_{1/q}^\infty dy \frac{e^{-2nay}}{2na} \frac{q}{\sqrt{(yq)^2 - 1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Finally, we get:

$$\int_0^\infty dk_{\parallel} k_{\parallel} S_{\epsilon,\nu}(q, k_{\parallel}) = -\frac{1}{2aq} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{1/q}^\infty dy \left(y + \frac{1}{2na} \right) \frac{e^{-2nay}}{\sqrt{(yq)^2 - 1}} \quad (22)$$

Substituting this result in (4) we obtain for the creation rate of radiation per unit volume:

$$\Im \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{W}}{T a \ell^2} \right\} = \frac{1}{(2\pi a)^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{1/q}^\infty dy \left(y + \frac{1}{2na} \right) \frac{e^{-2nay}}{\sqrt{(yq)^2 - 1}}, \quad (23)$$

which is consistent with previous results obtained by other methods [3]. Let us notice that in our final expression it is manifest the property that the creation rate disappears when there is no deformation ($q \rightarrow 0$) or boundary condition ($a \rightarrow \infty$).

References

- [1] hep-th/0305157, *The relation between effective action and vacuum energy in a kappa deformed theory*, Cougo-Pinto M. V., Farina C., Mendes J. F. M., 2003.
- [2] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V. N. Tolstoy, *Phys. Lett.* **B264** (1991) 331; O. Ogievetsky, W. B. Schmidke, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **150** (1992) 495; J. Lukierski and A. Nowicki, *Phys. Lett.* **B279** (1992) 299; S. Giller, J. Kunz, P. Kosinski, M. Majeowski and P. Maslanka, *Phys. Lett.* **B286** (1992) 57; J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, *Phys. Lett.* **293** (1992) 344; J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg and W. Zakrzewski, *Annals of Phys.* **243** (1995) 90.
- [3] M. V. Cougo-Pinto and Farina, *Phys. Letters B* **391** (1997) 67.